THE NEED FOR COUNTY-WIDE JURISDICTION FOR POLICE OFFICERS IN CITIES OF THE SIXTH CLASS

SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL CITIES OF THE INTERIM JOINT COMMITTEE ON CITIES

Representative Marshall Long, Chair
Representative Barry Caldwell
Representative John Harper
Representative Ken Harper
Representative Jim LeMaster
Senator Georgia Powers
Senator Eugene Stuart
Representative Jim Yates

Senator Danny Meyer, Ex-officio Member Representative Joseph U. Meyer, Ex-officio Member

LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH COMMISSION STAFF

Jamie Jo Franklin Donna Gaines Weaver Cheryl J. Walters

Research Memorandum No. 429

Legislative Research Commission Frankfort, Kentucky January, 1986

		•
		,



John A. "Eck" Rose Assistant President Pro Tem

> Joe Wright Majority Floor Leader

Joe Lane Travis Minority Floor Leader

David K. Karem Majority Caucus Chairman

Clyde Middleton Minority Caucus Chairman

> Helen Garrett Majority Whip

Jon W. Ackerson Minority Whip



LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH COMMISSION

State Capitol

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

502-564-8100

Joseph W. Prather, Senate President Pro Tem Donald J. Blandford, House Speaker Chairmen

> Vic Hellard, Jr. Director

HOUSE MEMBERS

Pete Worthington Speaker Pro Tem

Gregory D. Stumbo Majority Floor Leader

Richard A. Turner Minority Floor Leader

William "Bill" Donnermeyer Majority Caucus Chairman

Pearl Ray Lefevers Minority Caucus Chairman

> Kenny Rapier Majority Whip

Willard "Woody" Allen Minority Whip

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Vic Hellard, Jr., Director

FROM:

Jamie Jo Franklin, Legislative Analyst

SUBJECT:

Research Study directed by House Resolution 158

DATE:

December 15, 1985

During the 1984 Session of the General Assembly, the House adopted House Resolution 158, directing the Interim Joint Committee on Cities to study the need for police officers in cities of the sixth class to have county-wide jurisdiction to make arrests.

The Interim Joint Committee on Cities assigned this topic to its Subcommittee on Small Cities for their review during the 1984-85 interim. This research memorandum is the report of the Subcommittee with its recommendations to the Interim Joint Committee on Cities and the Legislative Research Commission.

		J
		•

The 1984 General Assembly enacted House Resolution 158, directing the Interim Joint Committee on Cities to study the need to expand the jurisdiction of law enforcement officers in cities of the sixth class (Appendix 1). The Cities Committee, in turn, assigned this topic to its Subcommittee on Small Cities for its review and recommendations.

HR 158 suggests that the arrest powers for such police officers in "professional police departments" be expanded to include the entire county rather than remain confined to the boundaries of the city proper. The subcommittee found that under previous sections of the statutes, enforcement officers in cities of the 6th class had county-wide jurisdiction. There were even statutes which created the office for a city marshall in such But since the 1972 enactment of the "Kentucky Law Enforcement Program" (KLEFP) and the 1980 adoption of the "Municipal Code," such sections of the statutes had been repealed, thus restricting such police officers to patroling and "making arrests" within the city limits. To repeal these statutes, it had been argued that such sections were no longer needed, because the "Municipal Code" established procedures for the organization and function of non-elected city offices. Also, the KLEFP program created minimum professional training standards for local law enforcement officers through its pay supplement plan. But because of the small number of police officers in cities of the 6th class who were able to obtain such training, legislators felt the need to limit the scope of activities of these "untrained" officers to the cities.

In order to assess fairly the need to return county-wide jurisdiction to such police officers, the subcommittee tried to look at the issue from several points of view. It formulated the following points of consideration in making its recommendations:

- (1) Exactly how many cities and officers are involved in this issue?
- (2) Do officers in such cities receive enough "training" to justify such jurisdictional authority?
- (3) Should there be any special consideration of rural vs. urban cities?
- (4) Is the effectiveness of such police officers truly hampered by limiting them to the city limits?
- (5) Cities of 1st-5th classes have such authority, why not the 6th class?
- (6) Would setting different standards for police in cities of the 6th class create constitutional problems?

When first approaching this issue, it seems of critical importance to get some idea of who, how many and what type of police officers now exist in cities of the 6th class. In Appendix 2, we have identified approximately 171 such cities. Of this number, 52 cities have some sort of police protection (30.4% of all 6th class cities). Of these 52 cities, only 11 (6.4%) currently participate in the KLEFP program (Appendix 4). This corresponds to 75 of 95 (81.1%) cities of the 4th class and 49 of 117 (41.9%) of the 5th class currently participating in KLEFP (Appendix 5). Also, the average size of the police forces of these 52 cities is 1.5 officers, regardless of whether they are full-time, part-time or volunteer officers.

As indicated by these figures, the number as well as percentage of cities of the 6th class which would be affected seems rather small compared to figures for other classes of cities.

The second point of consideration in the matter was to try to determine the current level of expertise of officers in cities of subcommittee felt that the The class. extraterritorial powers should be based in part on assurance that officers have at least some minimum training enforcement. It found, once again, that of 171 cities only 11 (6.4%) cities of the 6th class participate in the state's KLEFP program (Appendix 4 & 5). Of the remaining 41 cities with police services, some forces had as many as four full-time officers (Appendix 3). A telephone survey to each of these cities gave the impression that most respondents did not consider their forces "professional" police officers, even those paid on a "full-time" basis. Many implied that such officers, mainly local residents, and many of these volunteers, simply had a police car and patrolled the city streets just to give "the impression of law and order in town."

So based on survey results, the subcommittee found a very small percentage of trained, "professional" police officers currently employed in cities of the 6th class.

A third point of consideration was whether any special consideration should be given to distinguishing between the needs of urban and rural areas. It was found that most counties having professional police forces were located in the more urban areas (Appendix 6). The more rural areas usually have the county sheriff's office and state police officers more actively involved in law enforcement activities. The subcommittee even considered the possibility of special needs of a city of the 6th class which might serve as the county seat or the only incorporated community in the

county (Appendix 7). Once again, the number of cities actually affected was low. Therefore, the subcommittee decided that the urban/rural distinction does not really warrant any special consideration regarding the issue at hand.

Another question to be considered was whether current law is in fact hampering the effectiveness of officers in cities of the 6th class. A review of statutes relative to the responsibilities and authority of such police officers turned up no instances in which such officers would be prohibited from effectively protecting their citizens. The members based their opinion on KRS 431.045, which allows any full-time sworn police officer in actual pursuit to continue across corporate or county lines for the purpose of making an arrest. In considering other purposes, such as serving process or traffic control, the subcommittee found no reason for such officers to be outside the city limits, since such functions could be performed by other law enforcement officials. Also, subcommittee members did not feel that the citizens of such communities at any time would be prevented from receiving adequate law enforcement protection.

The next point of consideration involved determining the rationale in prohibiting cities of the 6th class from possessing county-wide jurisdiction if cities of the 1st-5th classes have such authority. On this point the subcommittee could find no reason to prohibit such authority, if such cities are willing to insure some level of professional standards for those officers they employ. As stated earlier, any police officers in actual pursuit may make arrests outside their corporate boundaries now. But if other powers

were to be granted county-wide, a city could be held liable for any exercise of such powers by their officers. Therefore, it would only be proper to require such cities to provide such employees some sort of professional training. On this same note, the subcommittee felt such requirements should be made of all cities which provide law enforcement services, irrespective of municipal classification.

The last point of consideration by the subcommittee was the possibility of creating constitutional problems if it enacted statutes which differentiated between the various classes of cities. It discussed at length the 1983 court case, Miles v. Shauntee, 664 S.W.2d 512 (Ky., 1983), in which the court declared Uniform Residential Landlord and Tenant Kentucky's unconstitutional on grounds that it constituted special legislation, in that it only applied to counties containing cities of the first Because the enactment of class and urban-county governments. special legislation is specifically prohibited in Sections 59 and 60 of the Kentucky Constitution, the subcommittee felt that there must a consideration of this issue prior to any legislative recommendations which would affect only one classification of city.

Based on consideration of the six (6) points mentioned, the subcommittee directed staff to draft legislation which would grant, under certain conditions, county-wide police jurisdiction for cities of the 6th class for the purpose of making arrests. It requested that such legislation require said cities to employ one or more <u>full-time</u> officers, maintain prescribed levels of education, pay and training, and provide annual continuing education training, for its officers (Appendix 8).

The legislation drafted prescribed minimum standards for employee workforce numbers, pay, education and training. The standards which were suggested are the requirements which must be met by any Kentucky city in order to be eligible to participate in the KLEFP Program. Staff noted that the number of training hours being recommended could be lowered but they were hopeful that by meeting KLEFP standards cities would have the incentive to participate in the KLEFP program, in order to obtain the highest quality of police training standards for their officers. reasoned that such training directly increases the "professional quality and effectiveness" of any officer in meeting and fulfilling the needs of and responsibilities to his or her community.

Upon review of the staff proposal and further discussion of the previously mentioned considerations, the subcommittee decided against making any recommendation to the full committee for expanding the jurisdiction of police in cities of the 6th class beyond their corporate boundaries. The subcommittee feels that the number of and cost to the cities which would be affected, as well as the possible constitutional problems, would indicate that the granting of extraterritorial jurisdiction is not appropriate at this time.

Appendix 1 1984 House Resolution No. 158

IN HOUSE

REGULAR SESSION 1984

HOUSE RESOLUTION NO. 158

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 28, 1984

Representative Dottie Priddy introduced the following resolution which was ordered to be printed.

A RESOLUTION directing the Interim Joint Committee on Cities to study the jurisdiction of law enforcement officers of cities of the sixth class.

whereas, police officers in cities of the first through the fifth class have the authority to make arrests anywhere in the county in which the city is located; and

WHEREAS, for effective law enforcement it is important that police officers not be limited to the artificial boundaries of the city in making arrests for crimes committed; and

WHEREAS, many cities of the sixth class have as professional and highly trained police officers as cities of the larger classes; and

whereas, police officers in cities of the sixth class, if they are to be effective as law enforcement officers, need to have county-wide jurisdiction;

NOW, THEREFORE,

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky:

- 1 Section 1. That the Interim Joint Committee on
- 2 Cities be directed to study the need for legislation per-
- 3 mitting police officers in cities of the sixth class,
- 4 having professional police departments, to have the

- authority to make arrests anywhere in the county in which
- 2 the city is located.
- 3 Section 2. Staff services to be utilized in
- 4 completing this study are estimated to cost \$2,500. Such
- 5 services shall be provided from the regular commission
- 6 budget and are subject to the limitations and other
- 7 research responsibilities of the commission.

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

					# 0F		REVENUE
	CIIX	POPULATION	COUNTY	ADD	POLICE	KLEFP	SHARING
l. Allen		338	Floyd	Big Sandy			· ×
2. Allensville	ısville	170	Todd	Pennyrile			
3. Arlington	ngton	511	Carlisle	Purchase	1 f.t.		×
4. Bancroft	oft	725	Jefferson	KIPDA	3 p.t.		×
5. Barlow	м	746	Ballard	Purchase	1 f.t.		×
6. Bedford	ord	835	Trimble	KIPDA] f.t.		×
7. Bellefonte	:fonte	806	Greenup	FIVCO	4 f.t.		×
8. Bellemeade	meade	918	Jefferson	KIPDA	1 1 1 1 1		×
9. Bellewood	роом	307	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
10. Berry		287	Harrison	Bluegrass			×
ll. Bluer	l. Blueridge Manor	465	Jefferson	KIPDA	**		×
12. Booneville	ville	191	Owsley	Kentucky River	***		
13. Bonnieville	eville	372	Hart	Barren River			×
14. Brads	4. Bradsfordsville	331	Marion	Lincoln Trail			×
l5. Bremen	ua	179	Muhlenberg	Pennyrile			×
16. Briarwood	boow	374	Jefferson	KIPDA	† ! ! !		×
17. Broadfields	ffields	311	Jefferson	KIPDA	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	-	×
18. Brodhead	nead	989	Rockcastle	Cumberland	0	*	×
19. Brown	9. Brownsboro Farm	790	Jefferson	KIPUA	l f.t.		×
20. Brown	20. Brownsboro Village	410	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
21. California	ornia	135	Campbell	Northern Ky.			×
22. Camargo	oɓ.	1301	Montgomery	Gateway			×
23. Cambridge	·idge	193	Jefferson	KIPDA			×

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

				# 0F		REVENUE
CITY	POPULATION	COUNTY	ADD	POLICE	KLEFP	SHARING
24. Campton	486	Wolfe	Kentucky River	lf.t.		×
25. Caneyville	642	Grayson	Lincoln Trail	lf.t.		×
26. Carrsville	66	Livingston	Pennyrile			×
27. Cedarville	81	Pike	Big Sandy			
28. Centertown	462	Ohio	Green River	lf.t.		×
29. Cherrywood Village	362	Jefferson	KIPDA	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		×
30. Clarkson	999	Grayson	Lincoln Trail	1 f.t.		×
31. Coal Run	348	Pike	Big Sandy	-		×
32. Concord	100	Lewis	Buffalo Trace			×
33. Corinth	258	Grant	Northern Ky.			×
34. Crab Orchard	843	Lincoln	Bluegrass	l f.t.	×	×
35. Crescent Park	351	Kenton	Northern Ky.	3 p.t./4 f.t.	×	×
36. Crestview	528	Campbell	Northern Ky.			×
37. Crestwood	531	Oldham	KIPDA			×
38. Crossgate	292	Jefferson	KIPDA	l f.t.		×
39. Dixon	533	Webster	Green River			×
40. Dover	305	Mason	Buffalo Trace			×
41. Druid Hills	538	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
42. Dycusburg	64	Crittenden	Pennyrile			×
43. Ekron	239	Meade	Lincoln Trail	1		×
44. Eubank	207	Pulaski	Lake Cumberland			×

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

REVENUE	SHARING	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
	KLEFP										×											
# 0F	POLICE		ا ۱۰۰۰	2 f.t.	1	l p.t.	ا ۱۰۰۰.		1 f.t./1 p.t.		2 f.t.	lf.t.	lf.t.	1 1 1 1	*	ı f.t.		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	40 40 40 40 40 40 40		1
	<u>ADD</u>	Lincoln Trail	KIPDA	Northern Ky.	KIPDA	Green River	KIPDA	Buffalo Trace	Barren River	Gateway	Barren River	Buffalo Trace	Northern Ky.	KIPDA	KIPDA	Nothern Ky.	KIPDA	Northern Ky.	KIPDA	KIPDA	Pennyrile	Purchase
	COUNTY	Nelson	Jefferson	Kenton	Jefferson	0hio	Jefferson	Bracken	Monroe	Menifee	Monroe	Bracken	Carroll	Jefferson	Jefferson	Gallatin	Jetterson	0wen	Jetterson	Jetterson	Hopkins	Calloway
	POPULATION	691	272	198	804	561	505	80	340	550	456	347	439	433	212	354	394	124	1167	634	485	465
į	<u> </u>	45. Fairtield	46. Fairmeade	47. Fairview	48. Fincastle	49. Fordsville	50. Forest Hills	51. Foster	52. Fountain Run	53. Frenchburg	54. Gamaliel	55. Germantown	56. Ghent	57. Glenview Hills	58. Glenview Manor	59. Glencoe	60. Goose Creek	61. Gratz	62. Graymoor	63. Greensprings	64. Hanson	65. Hazel

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

				# 0F		REVENUE
CTIX	POPULATION	COUNTY	ADD	<u>POLICE</u>	KLEFP	SHARING
66. Hiseville	349	Barren	Barren River	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		×
67. Hollowcreek	1023	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
68. Hollyvilla	476	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
69. Houston Acres	809	Jefferson	KIPDA	2 vol.		×
70. Hurstbourne Acres	386	Jetterson	KIPDA	l vol.		×
71. Hyden	488	Leslie	Kentucky River	2 f.t.		×
72. Indian Hills	787	Jefferson	KIPDA	2 f.t.	×	×
73. Indian Hills-Cherokee	585	Jetterson	KIPDA	l f.t./2 vol.		×
74. Inez	413	Martin	Big Sandy			×
75. Island	532	McLean	Green River			×
76. Keeneland	432	Jetterson	KIPDA	2 f.t.		×
77. Kenton Vale	145	Kenton	Northern Ky.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		×
78. Kevil	382	Ballard	Purchase			×
79. Kingsley	464	Jetterson	KIPDA			×
80. Lafayette	160	Christian	Pennyrile			×
81. Langdon Place	308	Jetterson	KIPDA			×
82. Latonia Lakes	396	Kenton	Northern Ky.			×
83. Lincolnshire	139	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
84. Livingston	334	Rockcastle	Cumberland Valley			×
85. Lockport	84	Henry	KIPDA			×
86. Loretto	954	Marion	Lincoln Trail	l p.t.		×

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

REVENUE

0F

CIIX	POPULATION	COUNTY	<u>ADD</u>	POLICE	KLEFP	SHAR ING
87. Mackville	229	Washington	Lincoln Trail	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		×
88. Manor Creek	241	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
89. Maryhill Estates	225	Jefferson	KIPDA	; ; ;		×
90. McHenry	582	Ohio	Green River	!		×
91. Meadowbrook Farm	683	Jefferson	KIPDA	† † -		×
92. Meadowview Estates	212	Jefferson	KIPDA	1 vol.		×
93. Melbourne	628	Campbel1	Northern Ky.	lf.t.		×
94. Mentor	691	Campbell	Northern Ky.	-		×
95. Milton	718	Trimble	KIPDA	1		×
96. Mockingbird Valley	205	Jefferson	KIPDA	-		×
97. Monterey	186	0wen	Northern Ky.			×
98. Moorland	513	Jefferson	KIPDA	1		×
99. Nebo	569	Hopkins	Pennyrile			×
100.New Castle	832	Henry	KIPDA	3 f.t.	×	×
101.New Haven	976	Nelson	Lincoln Trail	1 f.t.	×	×
102.Norbourne Estates	446	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
103.Norwood	254	Jefferson	KIPDA	1		×
104.Oakland	264	Warren	Barren River			×
105.Parkway Village	754	Jefferson	KIPDA) f.t.		×
106.Pembroke	636	Christian	Pennyrile	lf.t.	×	×
107. Phe Ips	1126	Pike	Big Sandy			

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

				# 0F		REVENUE
CIII	POPULATION	COUNTY	<u>AD0</u>	POLICE	KLEFP	SHARING
108.Pleasant Valley	342	Pike	Big Sandy	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
109.Pleasurëville	837	Henry	KIPDA			×
110.Plum Springs	393	Warren	Barren River			×
111.Plymouth Village	231	Jetterson	KIPDA	l p.t.		×
112.Powderly	848	Muhlenburg	Pennyrile	l p.t.		×
113.Prestonsville	502	Carroll	Northern Ky.	! ! !		×
114.Richlawn	485	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
115.Ridgeview Heights	729	Kenton	Northern Ky	W- 120 CT 100 CT		
116.Riverwood	435	Jetterson	KIPDA	ا ۱۰۵۰.		×
117.Robinswood	273	Jetterson	KIPDA			×
118.Rochester	589	Butler	Barren River	2 f.t.		
119.Rockport	511	0h i o	Green River	2 f.t.		×
120.Rolling Fields	731	Jefferson	KIPDA	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		×
121.Ryland Heights	252	Kenton	Northern Ky.			×
122.Sacramento	538	McLean	Green River	 		×
123.Salem	833	Livingston	Pennyrile			×
124.Salt Lick	347	Bath	Gateway			×
125.Sanders	332	Carroll	Northern Ky.			×
126.Sardis	198	Mason	Buffalo Trace			×
127.Science Hill	655	Pulaski	Lake Cumberland	1 f.t./1 vol.		×
128.Seneca Gardens	748	Jefferson	KIPDA			×

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

				# 0F	ω	REVENUE
<u> </u>	PQPULAT ION	COUNTY	ADD	POLICE	KLEFP	<u>SHAR I NG</u>
129.Sharpsburg	339	Bath	Gateway			×
130.Simpsonville	642	Shelby	KIPDA			×
131.Slaughters	569	Webster	Green River			×
132.Smithfield	137	Henry	KIPDA			×
133.Smithland	512	Livingston	Pennyrile	!		×
134.Smith's Grove	167	Warren	Barren			×
135.Sonora	416	Hardin	lincoln Trail	l p.t.		×
136.South Carrollton	262	Muhlenberg	Pennyrile			×
137.South Park View	248	Jetterson	KIPDA			
138.South Shore	1525	Greenup	FIVCO	 		×
139.Sparta	192	Gallatin	Northern Ky.	\$ 		×
140.Springlee	498	Jefferson	KIPDA	\$ 8 1		×
141.Stamping Ground	295	Scott	Bluegrass	1 f.t./l p.t.		×
142.Strathmoor Gardens	292	Jetterson	KIPDA	-		×
143.Strathmoor Manor	368	Jetterson	KIPDA			×
144.Strathmoor Village	466	Jetferson	KIPDA] f.t./] p.t.		×
145.Taylorsville	801	Spencer	KIPDA	3 f.t.		×
146.Thornhill	233	Jefferson	K1PDA			×
147. Frenton	465	Lodd	Pennyrile	1 f.t.	×	×
148.Union	109	Boone	Northern Ky.	1		×
149.Vicco	456	Perry	Kentucky River			

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

				# 0E	221	REVENUE
<u> </u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	COUNTY	ADD	POLICE	KLEFP	SHAR I NG
150.Wallins Creek	459	Harlan	Cumberland Valley			×
151.Wartield	450	Martin	Big Sandy	0	×	×
152.Water Valley	395	Graves	Purchase	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		×
153.Waverly	434	Union	Green River			×
154.Wayland	109	Floyd	Big Sandy			×
155.Wellington	653	Jefferson	KIPDA	2 f.t.	×	×
156.Westwood	826	Jefferson	KIPDA	1 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		×
157.Wheatcroft	325	Webster	Green River	!		×
158.Wheelwright	865	Floyd	Big Sandy			×
159.Whipps Millgate	227	Jetterson	KIPDA	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		×
160.White Plains	859	Hopkins	Pennyrile			×
161.Whitesville	788	Daviess	Green River			×
162.Wildwood	309	Jefferson	KIPDA	!		×
163.Willisburg	235	Washington	Lincoln Trail			×
164.Winding Falls	454	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
165.Wingo	909	Graves	Purchase	1 f.t.		×
166.Woodburn	330	Warren	Barren River			×
167.Woodland Hills	839	Jefferson	KIPDA			×
168.Woodlawn	331	Campbell	Northern Ky.	l f.t.		×
169.Worthville	272	Carroll	Northern Ky.			×

Appendix 2

Police Forces in Cities of the Sixth Class

REVENUE	SHARING	×	
	KLEFP		
# 0F	POLICE	l p.t.	
	ADD	FIVCO	Big Sandy
	COUNTY	Greenup	Pike
	POPULATION	1301	155
	CITY	170.Wurtland	171.Yorktown

f.t. - full-time

p.t. - part-time

vol. - volunteer

Cities of the 6th Class having Police Officers but not participating in KLEFP

	CITY	COUNTY	# OF POLICE
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 29. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20	Arlington Bancroft Barlow Bedford Bellefonte Brownsboro Farm Campton Caneyville Centertown Clarkson Crossgate Fairmeade Fairview Fordsville Forest Hills Fountain Run Germantown Ghent Glencoe Houston Acres Hurstbourne Acres Hyden Indian Hills-Cherokee Keeneland Loretto Meadowview Estates Melbourne Parkway Village Plymouth Village Powderly Riverwood	Carlisle Jefferson Ballard Trimble Greenup Jefferson Wolfe Grayson Ohio Grayson Jefferson Kenton Ohio Jefferson Kenton Ohio Jefferson Monroe Bracken Carroll Gallatin Jefferson Leslie Jefferson Jefferson Jefferson Marion Jefferson Jefferson Gampbell Jefferson Jefferson Jefferson Jefferson Jefferson Jefferson Jefferson Jefferson	1 f.t. 3 p.t. 1 f.t. 1 vol. 2 f.t. 1 p.t. 1 f.t. 1 f.t. 2 vol. 1 vol. 2 f.t. 1 p.t. 1 f.t. 1 p.t. 1 vol. 2 f.t. 1 p.t. 1 vol. 1 f.t. 1 p.t. 1 vol. 1 f.t. 1 p.t. 1 vol.
32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. •38. 39. 40. 41.	Rochester Rockport Science Hill Sonora Stamping Ground Strathmoor Village Taylorsville Wingo Woodlawn Wurtland	Butler Ohio Pulaski Hardin Scott Jefferson Spencer Graves Campbell Greenup	2 f.t. 2 f.t. 1 f.t./1 vol. 1 p.t. 1 f.t./1 p.t. 1 f.t./1 p.t. 3 f.t. 1 f.t. 1 f.t. 1 p.t.

• Cities which serve as county seats

Cities of the 6th Class participating in the KLEFP Program

- Brodhead (Rockcastle)
- 2. Crab Orchard (Lincoln)
- 3. Crescent Park (Kenton)
- 4. Gamaliel (Monroe)
- 5. Indian Hills (Jefferson)
- New Castle (Henry)
- 7. New Haven (Nelson)
- 8. Pembroke (Christian)
- 9. Trenton (Todd)
- 10. Warfield (Martin)
- 11. Wellington (Jefferson)

Percentage of Cities of 4th-6th Class participating in KLEFP

$$4th = \frac{75}{95} = 81.1\%$$

$$5th = \frac{49}{117} = 41.9\%$$

$$6th = \frac{11}{171} = 6.4\%$$

Appendix 6
Percentage of Cities of the 6th Class in Urban Areas

Jefferson -- 58 cities = 33.9%

Kenton -- 6 cities = 3.5%

Campbell -- 5 cities = 2.9%

Boone -- 1 city = $\frac{.5\%}{40.8\%}$

Cities of the 6th Class which serve as County Seats

- Bedford (Trimble)
- Booneville (Owsley)
- Campton (Wolfe)
- 4. Dixon (Webster)
- 5. Frenchburg (Menifee)
- 6. Hyden (Leslie)
- 7. Inez (Martin)
- 8. New Castle (Henry)
 - 9. Smithland (Livingston)
 - 10. Taylorsville (Spencer)

$$\frac{10}{171} = 5.8\%$$

● Cities which participate in KLEFP

Possible Legislation authorizing County-Wide Jurisdiction for Police

An Act relating to police in cities of the sixth class.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky:

- Section 1. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 95 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:
- (1) The chief of police and all members of the police force in cities of the sixth class may make arrests anywhere in the county in which the city is located provided the city meets the following requirements:
 - (a) Employs one or more full-time police officers;
 - (b) Pays every police officer a minimum annual salary of \$4,350;
- (c) Maintains the minimum educational requirement of a high school degree, or its equivalent as determined by the Kentucky law enforcement council for employment of police officers;
- (d) Requires all police officers to successfully complete a basic training course of at least 400 hours duration within one (1) year of the date of employment at a school certified or recognized by the Kentucky law enforcement council;
- (e) Requires all police officers to successfully complete each calendar year an in-service training course of at least 40 hours' duration at a school recognized by the Kentucky law enforcement council; and

- (f) Requires compliance with all provisions of law applicable to local police, including transmission of data to the bureau of criminal information and statistics as required by KRS 17.150.
- (2) The chief and members of a police force in cites of the sixth class shall not be required to police any territory outside of the city limits.

			in the second
•			
i			a :
			.! .:
			,
•			
•			